Simulation work in the UK

David Ward University of Cambridge

Test beam requirements?
Studies of cuts and models
Fluka studies
Clustering & energy flow

Test beam requirements?

- Use MC studies to indicate what data would be most useful in validating MC models.
- Compare samples of 10⁴
 5 GeV π⁺ in Geant3 (histo) and Geant4 (points)
- Prototype geometry; scintillator Hcal model
- Significant differences seen at the level of 10⁴ events, especially in the Hcal



Differences vary with energy



At 5 GeV energy in ECAL was about OK, but G4 higher (lower) at 1 (50) GeV

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004

Protons are different from π^+



i.e. models disagree differently for protons and pions.

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004 D.R. Ward

Antiprotons are different again



Neutrons similar to protons?



Discrepancies between models look similar for p and n

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004

Compare RPC/scintillator HCAL (π^+ 5 GeV)



Difference in transverse HCAL distribution much smaller for RPC.

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004 D.R. Ward

Conclusions re. test beam

- 1% precision suggests >10⁴ events per particle type and energy.
- Try to range from 1-80 GeV (~10-15 energy points?).
- Pions and protons desirable (→Čerenkov needed). Also electrons (+ muons?) for calibration.
- Both RPC and Scintillator HCAL needed.
- Position scan use beam width ("a few cm at FNAL-MTBF"). Need MWPCs etc for position determination. But would need more statistics if splitting up data. Aim for 10⁶ events/energy point?
- Also some data at 30-45° incidence.

Study of hadronic models

(G Mavromanolakis)

- Studied p, π⁻ at 1 GeV and 10 GeV (10⁴ event samples)
- Geant3 with Gheisha
- Geant3 / Gheisha (SLAC version)
- Geant3 / Fluka
- Geant3 / Fluka / Micap (used for n < 20 MeV)</p>
- Geant4 / Mokka / LHEP

- Prototype geometry
- Geant 3 energy cutoffs 10 keV (e/m) and 100 keV (had)
- Geant 4 range cut =5μm
- Threshold ½ mip/cell
- Study response, transverse and longitudinal shower shape.
- Plots normalised to unit area

Study of tracking cutoffs (G Mavromanolakis)





Geant4/Mokka quite insensitive to cutoffs. Default (5µm) looks fine. Geant3 is rather sensitive to cuts. 10 keV looks reasonable for electromagnetics; probably around 100 keV is OK for hadronics.

Response



Scintillator HCAL

Large differences between models, especially in the Hcal.

n.b. FLUKA/Micap only differs from Fluka-GH in the low energy neutrons

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004

Shower profiles



Differences in longitudinal profile for Fluka models

Transverse distribution in Hcal narrower for Micap

Both these effects slightly more pronounced at 1 GeV

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004

Compare Gheisha versions



Differences between Geant3 and Geant4, as before.

SLAC version of G3/Gheisha mainly affects HCAL response.

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004

FLUKA studies (N Watson)

- Geant3-Fluka is a deprecated version.
- Current version of Fluka particularly interesting for hadronic interactions
- Wish to…
 - Test new Mokka detector models
 - Investigate full TDR type geometry + prototypes
 - Avoid coding each geometry directly in Fluka
 - error prone, may introduce non-physics differences
- Chosen to use FLUGG package (P.Sala et al) [From ATL-SOFT-98-039]
- Geometry & physics decoupled in G4 and Fluka
- Wrappers for f77/C++

FLUKA – current status

Mokka running within flugg/Fluka framework

- Using Mokka-01-05 + Geant4.5.0.p01 + clhep1.8.0 + gcc3.2
- Flugg05 (Jan. 2003)
- Fluka 2002.4 (May 2003)

Procedure: start from Mokka release and delete:

all classes except for detector construction, detector parametrisation, magnetic field construction

corresponding #include, variable, class definitions in .cc/.hh

anything related to G4RunManager, DetectorMessenger

code where SensitiveDetector is set

interactive code, visualisation, etc.

Validation

Minimal debugging tools in flugg, e.g. P55 prototype geometry

Library/compiler consistency (fluka object-only code)

Using ProtEcalHcalRPC model, works

Compare FLUKA/FLUGG with Geant3/4



Fluka – ongoing work

- Restrict study to energy deposited in active layers
- Improve reliability for larger samples
 - ~understood technical issue
- Review energy thresholds/step size in Fluka
 - default min. K.E. > 100 keV
 - neutrons, 19.6 MeV
 - energy $e/\gamma > 500 \text{ keV}$ (??)
 - Iow energy neutron cross-sections
- Compare systematically with G3/G4 results,
 - Same initial conditions
 - Thresholds, mip normalisation, etc.
 - Adopt same output format as DRW/GM. Maybe go to LCIO?

Integration with Mokka geometry classes

Need to feed changes back to Mokka developers

Clustering & energy flow (C. Ainsley)

- Aim to produce a flexible algorithm, not tied to specific geometry/MC program.
- Use to test sensitivity to different features of MC showering models.
- Currently testing using Mokka with TDR geometry, 1x1 cm² cell sizes in both ECAL/HCAL.
- Single barrel stave.
- Started using Root input files; now converting to LCIO.
- Easier to compare with REPLIC and SNARK(Brahms) now LCIO is available.

Algorithm in outline

- Algorithm mixes tracking and clustering aspects.
- Sum hits within cell; apply threshold of ¹/₃ MIP.
- Form clusters in layer 1 of ECAL.
- Associate each hit in layer 2 with nearest hit in layer 1 within cone of angle α. If none, initiate new cluster.
- Track onwards layer by layer through ECAL and HCAL, looking back up to 2 layers to find nearest neighbour, if any.



Schematic of 3 successive layers of a TESLA TDR ECAL barrel stave

Reconstruction of single particles



Each cluster has a different colour; black is highest energy one.

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004



Multiparticle events (τ , η')



Looking quite encouraging.

Calice Meeting / DESY/January 2004



- Continuing comparisons between MC models; focussing now on prototype setups.
- Just starting systematic study of various Geant4 hadronic packages.
- $\bullet \rightarrow$ useful input for defining test beam strategy.
- Work on Fluka progressing.
- Started work on clustering/energy flow.
- Work on MC starting at IC (D.Bowerman, C.Fry); feed info from DAQ commissioning into realistic digitization simulation of MC.