

A Summary of Investigations at Cambridge

Making the interconnections between the Slab component PCBs ("ASUs") is difficult.

We have been looking at ways to do it, and testing out our ideas.

- . The general interconnect problem
- . The way in which "Bridge" pieces could be used
- . The initial design work
- . Bits we have in hand
- Investigations and first results

ECAL SLAB Interconnect





ECAL SLAB Interconnect - Why Multi-Rows?



How to read them out - single path or in 4 rows?





ECAL SLAB Interconnect - Why Multi-Rows?



Multi Row is aesthetically **much more pleasing** J J J J

- but what material advantages does it offer?

Clock and Control Lines: LVDS, controlled impedance

. length of each C&C trace reduced below 1/N_{ROWS:}

- less signal degradation
- far cleaner routing no need for stubs

Read-Out Lines: low voltage swing CMOS

data load is shared between the rows, so lower rate needed

. length (and hence capacitance) of each readout trace reduced below $1/N_{\text{ROWS}}$

power for R/O reduced in same ratio

The power savings not large compared to Slab power budget

But achieving data rates of several Mbits/sec over complex traces of several metres length will be **difficult** L or **impossible** L L L

But Multi-Rows means lots of connections - is this possible? L or J



We have been looking at using "Bridges" to jumper multiple connections between adjacent ASUs

The Bridge would be soldered onto pads on the ASU (or DIF) PCB

Each Bridge would provide 30-40 connections Up to 4 Bridges fit in the width of an ASU ... 1 per path would be an ideal solution J J



Short FFC (Flat,Flexible-Cable) Bridges make connections on a 1mm pitch – OK for at least 120 connections



Alternatively the Bridges can be thin PCBs, also with 1mm pitch connections. This gives a mechanical as well as electrical joint



Maurice Goodrick & Bart Hommels , University of Cambridge



Provides copious connections (4 x 35 across ASU)

- plenty for Power Planes
- . would allow 4 or more rows of connections
- . Solder joints well proven electrically
- Signal transmission likely to be less compromised
 Rework possible

 Using an FFC-Bridge would make the mechanical joint independent: this might appeal to the mechanical designers

 Using a PCB-Bridge combines mechanical and electrical joint



The following slides give a glimpse of what we have ... and some results



FFC-Bridges: we have 250 cut, 250 on roll



PCB-Bridges: have 15 Panels of 8 lots of 6 variants



ASU-Test PCB: we have 15





PCB-Bridges: solder pasting





3 bits of ASU-Test being joined: reflow / of 2nd and 3rd

Using the IR Re-work station



4 Section ASU-Test Assembly



ASU-Test: 4 Section Assembly





Linear Halogen Lamp

Elliptical Reflector

Re-flowing a PCB-Bridge



Imaging Halogen IR Source: first test



There are major advantages in using Bridges:

- Removes major bottleneck in number of connections
- Promises greater reliability
- Rework likely to be easier

There's a lot to be done:

- We are trying out many things
- LAL Mechanical Prototype will also test PCB-Bridge mechanics

We are finding answers:

- 1mm pitch connections with continuity and no shorts
- IR re-flow looking very good:
 - ERSA Re-work station OK
 - Home-brew Imaging IR source may fit well into large-scale assembly procedures: full width re-flow, multiple heads,...





PCB-Bridges: half-via variant