

Status and Prospects of the CALICE Project

Fabrizio Salvatore Royal Holloway, University of London



Outline

- Calorimetry at the ILC
- Detector concepts
 - SiW ECAL, Scintillator strips ECAL
 - Analog HCAL and Digital HCAL
- Summary of 2006 test beams and preparation for 2007 test beam programme
- Progress in UK work on ECAL design
 - Data acquisition for final ILC detector
 - Mechanical studies: glue studies
 - MAPS design
- UK work on PFAs and physics studies
- Conclusion and Outlook

Calorimetry at the ILC

- Calorimetry is one of key ingredients for a high-specs detector at the ILC
 - Need high granularity for precise jet energy resolution

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{jet} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{charg} \oplus \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{phot} \oplus \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{neut} \oplus \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{confusion}$$

Design, build and operate a novel detector which fulfils stringent requirements: $\sigma_{jet} = 30\% / \sqrt{E}$

particles in jet	fraction of energy in jet	detector	single particle resolution	jet energy resolution
charged particles	60 %	tracker	$\frac{\sigma_{p_t}}{p_t} \sim 0.01\% \cdot p_t$	negligible
photons	30 %	ECAL	$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \sim 15\%/\sqrt{E}$	$\sim 5\%/\sqrt{E_{jet}}$
neutral hadrons	10 %	HCAL+ECAL	$\frac{\sigma_E}{E}\sim 45\%/\sqrt{E}$	$\sim 15\%/\sqrt{E_{jrt}}$

Extensive test-beam programme of detector prototypes



Outline

Calorimetry at the ILC

- Detector concepts
 - SiW ECAL, Scintillator strips ECAL
 - Analog HCAL and Digital HCAL
- Summary of 2006 test beams and preparation for 2007 test beam programme
- Progress in UK work on ECAL design
 - Data acquisition for final ILC detector
 - Mechanical studies: glue studies
 - MAPS design
- UK work on PFAs and physics studies
- Conclusion and Outlook



Scintillator strips ECAL



-> In multi particle injection / Pi0 reconstruction

(slide by T.Takeshita)

7

Analog HCAL prototype

- 38 layers of scintillator tiles (90x90 cm²) with steel absorber
- High granularity
 - 3x3 + 6x6 + 12x12 cm² tiles
 - 8000 readout channels (SiPM)
 - Measurements of shower leakage and muon identification provided by Tail Catcher + Muon Tracker (TCMT)
 - 96 cm of iron absorber with 16 layers of 5*50mm² scintillator strips (~10 λ)
- Common ECAL+HCAL+TCMT DAQ





(top view)

Digital HCAL prototype



- GEMs + steel absorber (1x1 cm²)
 - 1m³ prototype, 4.5 λ_I
 - 40K channels



Pa 3 Rheeners in a footbact M dataset



The start instance of the start of the start





Layers equipped with Micro MEsh GAseous Structure chambers Readout by pads or strips

Outline

- Calorimetry at the ILC
- Detector concepts
 - SiW ECAL, Scintillator strips ECAL
 - Analog HCAL and Digital HCAL
- Summary of 2006 test beams and preparation for 2007 test beam programme
- Progress in UK work on ECAL design
 - Data acquisition for final ILC detector
 - Mechanical studies: glue studies
 - MAPS design
- UK work on PFAs and physics studies
- Conclusion and Outlook

Desy run – May 2006

- SiW prototype tested on electron beam at energies from 1 to 6 Gev
 - 24 layers equipped with 18x12 matrix of Si cells
 - 3 W thicknesses (1.4, 2.8, 4.2 mm)
 - Cell size 1x1 cm²
 - ~5200 channels
- > 8M events collected
 - Position scans: centre, edge, corner of wafers
 - Energy scans: 1, 1,5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 GeV runs
 - Angle scans: 0, 10, 20, 30, 45 degrees

hEventEnergy hErentEnergy 0.03 ECAL 0° CO-V 0.025 10^{-9} 0.02 10-3 0.015 0.01 104 0.005 10-5 0 1500 2000 energy per event (mip) 1000 500 1500 2000 energy per event (mip) 1000 n Hits per layer E per layer hCellsHitPerLayer hEventEnergyPerLayer cells hit energy (mip) ECAL 0° 8 ECAL 0° 7 e⁻ 3 GeV e⁻ 3 GeV 5 20 15 10 2 5 0 🖫 0 20 25 layer number 5 10 15 20 25 layer number 5 10 15 G. Mavromanolakis Showers well contained

ECAL response

Double particle events

Test beam Monte Carlo

Full G4 simulation of Desy test beam setup available in Mokka (v06-03p01)



Data/MC comparison – e⁻ 3 GeV





E Ecal hits /MIPs layer 21



D. Ward

Track reconstruction

- Use info from data and MC to reconstruct drift chamber's tracks
- Evaluate drift velocity of chambers from data
- Use MC to evaluate error matrix of track fit, including multiple scattering effects
- Reconstruct 1D tracks and extrapolate at any point in Z for resolution studies on Ecal front face
- Working towards a common software structure for data and MC for tracking

M. Faucci Giannelli, F. Salvatore, M. Green P. Dauncey, H. Yilmaz, A-M. Magnan, G. Mavromanolakis



CERN run – Aug & Oct 2006

×10° SiW ECAL prototype: Combined Running 30 layers, 18x12 matrix of Si cells 60 ntegrat 20 Ecal Standalone 3 W thicknesses Cell size 1x1 cm² 30 ~6500 channels 20 HCAL: Aug: 15 modules, ~3200 channels 10 20 Oct: 23 modules, ~5000 channels TCMT: Aug: 8 modules, 160 channels

- Oct: 16 modues, 320 channels
- Common DAQ: 120 Hz max average rate, ~500 Hz peak rate in spill





Summary of run conditions

Aug 2006

- HCAL alone, no ECAL
 - e⁻ beam: 6 to 45 GeV
 - π^- beam: 6 to 80 GeV
- ECAL+HCAL
 - π^- beam: 30 to 80 GeV
- ECAL alone
 - e⁻ beam: 6 to 45 GeV
 - Angle scan: 0, 30, 45 deg
- 600K events per sample

Oct 2006

- ECAL+HCAL+TCMT
 - π⁻ beam: 6 to 80 GeV
 - 500K events per sample
- HCAL alone, no ECAL
 - e⁺ beam: 10 to 50 GeV
 - 600K events per sample
- ECAL alone
 - e⁺ beam: 10 to 50 GeV
 - 300K events per sample
- Parasitic run: 25M µ events collected

ECAL vs HCAL vs TCMT response



Beam monitoring



ECAL and HCAL response to positrons



- Most runs with typical behaviour
- At 30 GeV response affected by noise/unstable layers

- **Runs used for** e/π **studies**
- Useful for SiPM saturation studies

Full G4 MC simulation



Beam instrumentation:

1) Cherenkov detector for e/π separation < 40 GeV

2) 3 x/y pairs of Multi Wires Proportional Chambers (MWPC) with double readout, multi-hit capability

3) veto counter, r/o analog amplitude, to separate multi-particle events

4) trigger system

Data/MC hits by layer @ 45 GeV





Revolution and a president of the presid Entries 154600 5000 4.584 Moan RMS 4.153 M. 300195_Mokka#3.p01 Entries 20862 4000 Mean 4.638 RMS 4.161 3000 2000 1000 0 2 10 12 14 16 18 20 -α 4 6 8

Data processing status

- All tb data from CERN and Desy converted to LCIO using v04_02 of the reconstruction package
 - Using ILC Software for data processing
- Preparing next version of reconstruction
 - Includes tracking, a full HCAL reconstruction and an improved calibration
 - Still in the testing phase
- All Calice data available on the grid
 - VO Calice has ~40 members as of today
 - Whole data processing and simulation work will use grid facilities
- Calice is the first ILC project using systematically the grid
 - Will deliver valuable input for the whole ILC community

Test beam summary

- Extremely successful test beam programme in 2006
 - Huge amount of data collected
 - Leading UK role throughout
- First results of tb analysis will be presented at LCWS07 conference at Desy (30th May – 3rd June)
 UK groups leading the analysis effort
- This year's test beam programme already started
 - Two weeks test of ECAL-scint at Desy in March
 - Significant UK contribution in DAQ and monitoring
 - Two 2-weeks periods in July and August at CERN
 - Installation starting June 10th
 - Moving all detectors to FNAL in October
 - ECAL-AHCAL-TCMT test with low energy hadrons
 - Combined ECAL-DHCAL test beam

Outline

- Calorimetry at the ILC
- Detector concepts
 - SiW ECAL, Scintillator strips ECAL
 - Analog HCAL and Digital HCAL
- Summary of 2006 test beams and preparation for 2007 test beam programme
- Progress in UK work on ECAL design
 - Data acquisition for final ILC detector
 - Mechanical studies: glue studies
 - MAPS design
- UK work on PFAs and physics studies
- Conclusion and Outlook

DAQ structure

V. Bartsch, C. Targett-Adams, M. Postranecky, M. Warren, M. Wing, B. Green, M. Green, A. Misiejuk, T. Wu, P. Dauncey, M. Noy, M. Kelly, R. Hughes-Jones, R. Barlow, D. Bailey M, Goodrick, B. Hommels



DAQ overview



Data link and Off-Detector receiver

Data link: use most common networking fibre-optics

- Multimode with LC connectors
- SFP (mini-GBIC) interfaces
- 1Gbit rate
- Ethernet
- ODR:
 - PCI Express Card
 - Virtex 4, FX100 FPGA (big!)
 - Hosts opto-links
 - 2xSFP, 2xHSSDC2 on board
 - Source of C+C (Control link)
 - Initially copper (SMA connectors?)
 - Later fibre
 - Will use external clock and sync signals for multi-PC operation



Commercial components



ODR status



Optical ("layer 1") switching

- Part of CALICE-UK is to evaluate the use of a "layer-1" switch
 - DAQ PC failover Redirect data to spare unused DAQ PC on the fly
 - "Router" Can change data destination per bunch-train
 - Regulate load by sending data directly to free resources
- Programmable optical patch panel (large installation)
- Several manufacturers offering similar products, in same price range e.g. Glimmerglass, Polatis - difficult to differentiate between them
- Decided on Polatis
 - can switch dark fibre (i.e. not MEMS based)
 - Multimode fibre capable
 - Fastest switching time (20ms)
- 16x16 array with 50µm multimode LC connectors



Single Event Upsets



- Attempting to find out expected SEU rates in FE
 - Influences choice of technology for final FE
 - Influences re-configure/reset rate of FE
 - Provide framework and data for use when making hardware decisions later
 - Simulated expected environment at end of ECAL slabs
 - Results are compared with existing FPGA measurements

Virtex II X-2V100 Virtex II X-2V6000	0.005 SEUs/h	
Altera Stratix	0.062 SEUs/h	
Xilinx XC4036XLA	0.001 SEUs/h	
Virtex XQVR300	0.012 SEUs/h	
9804RP	0.005 SEUs/h	

 \Rightarrow one SEU/device every 40 days

DAQ summary

UK proposes to take responsibility for a large part of the readout chain Design based on commercial components Key areas for development identified: Baseline structure Individual group responsibilities identified DAQ design applicable to both ECAL and HCAL ECAL is entirely a UK responsibility HCAL may need to manufacture own FE PCB Design applicable to upcoming prototypes being built within the **EUDET** project We will provide the DAQ for these systems 32

Mechanical studies

Studies on conducting glue
 Silver filled 2 part epoxy



 On first application of voltage IV curve can show high resistance at low voltage (<500 mv) then chaotic transitions to lower resistance states as the is voltage increased

• Finally a step transition to " normal " state ~1 ohm typically at a few volts. Once this state has been established it seems permanent.

Consistent with Atlas
 experience





R. Thompson



- Sensor and electronics in one wafer
- Charge collection in epi-layer
 - Charge collected by diffusion
- n-well isolated with 3 µm thick "deep p-well"
- Novel *INMAPS* process for the CALICE MAPS

- Hit buffering for entire bunch train
- Capability to mask individual pixels
- Threshold adjustment for each pixel

Test sensor (V1.0) and test setup

- Development of DAQ board and firmware has started
- Complete test setup
 - Cosmics
 - Sources
 - Laser
 - Test beam

- 5 pixels wide
- Hit buffering using
- SRAM technology
- Time stamping (13 bit) · Configuration registers
- Only part with clock lines
- ~11% inefficiency



84 pixels

- **RAL Laser setup**
 - 1064, 532 and 355 nm Wavelength
 - Accurate focusing (<2 µm)
 - 50 Hz repetition rate
 - Fully automatized



Detector simulation using MAPS

- MAPS ECAL implemented in simulation as a patch to Mokka v06-02
 - Detector model used LDC01(Sc)
 - 50x50 µm pixel size
 - 15 µm "Active Area" (Epi-layer)
 - ECAL with 30 layers
 - 20 layers 2.1 mm Tungsten
 - 10 layers 4.2 mm Tungsten
 - Charge diffusion and thresholds are implemented in a separate "digitization" step

MAPS clustering algorithm

Y. Mikami

- 1. Finding initial group of hits within one of inner layers.
 - → Requiring several hits are within circle of mm order radius.
- 2. Deciding direction of cluster : Searching hits in outer layers which has located within hemisphere from initial hit. -> From the centre of gravity in the initial grouping within inner layer to the centre of gravity in the hemisphere.
- 3. Adding all hits in 30 layers within cylinder of Moliere radius order.







Cylinder radius have to be optimized with physics events. (In order to avoid merging two neighbour clusters)

> Temporarily clustering cylinder radius is 2.0 cm. Sower spread distributions:

- B fields is off
- Electron is injected from IP to zenith





Next steps

- Submit Sensor V1.0 Mid April
- Sensor V1.0 due back Mid July
- Improve/enhance GEANT simulation
- Testing Sensor V1.0
- Do physics analyses with a MAPS based ECAL
- Improve sensor simulation with data from V1.0
- Design Sensor V2.0 using all the experience made with V1.0
- Submit Sensor V2.0

Outline

- Calorimetry at the ILC
- Detector concepts
 - SiW ECAL, Scintillator strips ECAL
 - Analog HCAL and Digital HCAL
- Summary of 2006 test beams and preparation for 2007 test beam programme
- Progress in UK work on ECAL design
 - Data acquisition for final ILC detector
 - Mechanical studies: glue studies
 - MAPS design
- UK work on PFAs and physics studies
- Conclusion and Outlook

Particle Flow Algorithms

Overview: Pandora PFA

*Preparation

*****Isolation cuts, hit ordering, track quality

Initial clustering to form ProtoClusters

***ProtoClusters** are heavyweight objects:

- \star much more than a collection of hits
- ***** know how to grow (configured when created)
- ***** information about shape, direction, isPhoton,...
- ***** can be configured to fragment tracks...

*****+much more (not all used)...

- <u>*Cluster association/merging</u>
 - ***** Tight Topological linking of clusters
 - ***** Looser merging of clusters
 - * Track-driven merging

★<u>PFA</u>

*****Final track-cluster matching



M. Thomson



M. Faucci Giannelli, F. Salvatore, M.Green

ZHH benchmark channel

Pandora Pythia:

- M(Higgs) = 120 GeV
- Electron polarization 80%
- Positron polarization 0%
- ECM = 500 GeV

LDC00:

- RPC Hcal
- TPC has 200 layers
- ECal is 30+10 layers

DC01: smaller radius than LDC00

- RPC Hcal
- TPC has 185 layers
- ECal is 20+10 layers

Marlin 0.9.4 with MarlinReco 0.2	
 IVIARINRECO U.2 Processors used: VTXDigi FTDDigi SimpleCaloDigi TPCDigi CurlKiller LEPTracking TrackwiseClustering Wolf PairSelector SatoruJetFinder BosonSelector 	Pandora PFA
MyROOTProcessor & analysis	

different detector models and PFAs

LC-PHSM-2007-003

Comparing detector models and PFAs



Z/W separation



Conclusion and Outlook

- Test beam programme a real success
 - UK groups leading the DAQ, on-line monitoring and data analysis efforts
 - Getting ready for this year's test beam programme
- Significant progress in the design of the final DAQ for ILC
- MAPS design:
 - Ready to start tests on first prototype
 - Much improved simulation
 - 100% UK project
 - Physics analysis:
 - UK leading efforts to benchmark different detector models using well understood physics channels
 - Also testing available particle flow algorithms

Backup slides